

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.20740 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 30.08.2018

Kasturi Devi

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Union Territory & Ors.

... Respondents

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr.Justice Surya Kant
Hon'ble Mr.Justice Sudip Ahluwalia**

Present: Ms. Neeru Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate for UT Chandigarh

Respondent No.3 in person

Mr. KR Dhawan, Advocate for respondent No.4

SURYA KANT J. (Oral)

(1) The parties have amicably settled the dispute and a compromise deed dated 30.07.2018 executed between them has been placed on record as P/A-1. In terms of the said compromise, respondent No.4 (Smt. Upma Kakkar) has paid a sum of ₹ 36 lacs to petitioner Kasturi Devi by way of demand draft No.640373 dated 27.08.2018 drawn on YES Bank (copy marked as 'A') which has been handed over to counsel for the petitioner in Court. The compromise further provides that respondent No.4 (Smt. Upma Kakkar) shall pay a sum of ₹ 36 lacs to respondent No.3 also (Vidur Kumar Aggarwal) and this payment shall be made in the Court of Shri R.K. Sharma, Additional District & Sessions Judge, Chandigarh where the appeal filed by respondent No.4 is pending. On making such payment, the petitioner and respondent No.3 shall have no right whatsoever in SCO No.84, Sector 24C, Chandigarh and the same shall then be exclusively owned by respondent

No.4 free from all encumbrances. The Estate Office, Chandigarh shall also assist the parties in giving effect to the settlement.

(2) The writ petition stands disposed of in above terms read with the compromise (P/A-1). Parties shall abide by the terms and conditions of the compromise.

(3) Ordered accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

30.08.2018
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes
No