

**CWP No. 18922 of 2018  
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 02, 2018**

**YASH PAUL MEHTA AND ORS**

**.....PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS**

**.....RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)**

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant them the benefit of 28 years qualifying service for grant of full pension on the basis of last pay drawn to them working in the Government aided colleges at par with other similarly situated employees in the light of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 1982 of 2015 (P-6) and also to count the probation service followed by regular service rendered by petitioner Nos. 3 and 4 for the purpose of pension, gratuity and other benefits i.e. from the date of their initial appointment in the light of settled proposition of law and judgment dated 05.01.2016 (P-9) whereby, the benefit has been granted to all the similarly situated employees and to release the benefits of revised pension and gratuity including arrears upto date of revision with interest @12% from the date it became due till its actual realization.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the legal notice dated 18.12.2017 (P-10), which is still pending with the respondents, in a time bound manner.

3. In view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notice dated 18.12.2017 (P-10) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations that too, by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the afore-said legal notice, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any inaction of the respondents, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 02, 2018  
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*      *Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable*              *Yes/No*