

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.18880 of 2018
Date of decision: 05.12.2018**

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner is seeking quashing of the action of respondents, whereby he has been relieved on 27.07.2018. He is further seeking direction to the respondents to continue his services as per instructions dated 20.07.2017 (Annexure P-6).

While issuing notice of motion on 01.08.2018, it was observed that the petitioner had joined as Extension Lecturer in the year 2011, whereas respondent Nos.4 and 5 joined in 2012 & 2015 respectively.

Upon notice, reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 has been filed, wherein it has been stated that after assessing the workload, two new vacancies in the subject of Political Science were found available. So, the petitioner along with respondent No.5 were allowed join back on duty. It is further stated that as per the principle of “last come first go”, the seniority has to be maintained and the petitioner is considered senior among all, who were working as Extension Lecturers in the subject of Political

Science.

In view of the reply filed, no further directions are required to be given in this petition as seniority of the petitioner has been admitted and he is continuing to work.

Disposed of accordingly.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

05.12.2018

ajp

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No