

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 24174 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.11.2018**

RohitPetitioner
versus

MDU Rohtak and ors. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Kannan Malik, , Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 1

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for setting aside letter dated 09.10.2015 (P-8), with a further prayer to appoint the petitioner as Assistant Professor of Law.

A Division Bench of this Court in ***Management of S.D. Model Senior Secondary School & another vs. District Judge-cum-Service Tribunal & another***, CR No.4315 of 2012 (decided on 27.11.2013), has held that as per directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, Education Tribunals have since been constituted. It has been further observed that vide notifications dated 28.05.2008 and 07.05.2013, powers of Education Tribunals have been given to the District & Sessions Judges in the State of Haryana, to hear appeals of the employees of aided/unaided Medical/Dental/Ayurvedic/Homeopathic/Educational Institutions against the decision of Management within their jurisdiction.

In the present case, it is not in dispute that respondent No. 2-Institute is run and managed by respondent No. 3-Society and is affiliated with MDU and this issue can be examined by the Education Tribunal, Rohtak.

In view of the above factual position, the present petition stands dispose of and The Education Tribunal, Rohtak shall examine and decide the matter in accordance with law expeditiously.

November 19, 2018
G Arora

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>