

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1883 of 2018

Date of Decision: 30.01.2018

Roopram

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Abhilaksh Grover, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

This petition will be treated as a representation by the authority competent to consider cases of promotion of Patwaris in the District concerned. The petitioner will be called for hearing, the authority would pass a speaking order after considering the points raised by the petitioner on his grouse that he has not been promoted in 2014 when he was given the current duty charge on the post of Kanungo as still continues. He complains that one Om Pal his junior has been promoted in 2017 but the petitioner has been ignored.

In view of these circumstances, the prayer of the petitioner is found reasonable that a direction should go to the competent authority to consider and decide his case within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If the grievance of the petitioner is found genuine then it would not be necessary to pass a speaking order and the petitioner's rights can be operated by office orders and consequential benefits, if any, may be handed over to him both pecuniary and non-

pecuniary. However, if an order adverse to the interest is proposed then the necessity of hearing and passing an order in writing would be necessitated.

With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

30.01.2018
manju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>