

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.25049 of 2015

Date of Decision: 31.10.2018

Rajinder Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG Punjab.

None for respondent No.4.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J.(ORAL)

Despite service none has caused representation on behalf of respondent No.4. Hence respondent No.4 is hereby proceeded against exparte.

Through the instant civil writ petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of part of memo dated 02.11.2015 (Annexure P-11) vide which the claim of the petitioners for promotion to the post of lecturer has been declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that during the pendency of the present petition, the report sought from the Himachal Pradesh University has been received by the respondents with regard to post graduate certificate of the petitioners.

He further contends that at this stage he will be satisfied in case a final view in the light of reply dated 12.03.2016 filed by District

Education Officer, on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 be taken

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the validity of the said certificate and if it is found valid document then grant necessary benefit against the same at the time of determining the seniority and promotion of the petitioners. The necessary exercise be done within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 31, 2018

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No