

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18700-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision-16.10.2018

Ravi Chander Kher

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-12830-CWP-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexures P-10 and P-11 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-18700-2018

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 5 to take immediate legal action against respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is a senior citizen and is allegedly harassed by action of respondent No.6. For redressal of his grouse, he has moved legal notice dated 25.06.2018 (Annexure P-8). Further, learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Department of

Local Bodies, Sector-35, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 25.06.2018 (Annexure P-8) in the light of report dated 07.03.2018 (Annexure P-7).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Department of Local Bodies, Sector-35, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 25.06.2018 (Annexure P-8) in the light of report dated 07.03.2018 (Annexure P-7) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

16.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No