

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

102

**Civil Writ Petition No.1865 of 2018
Date of decision: January 30, 2018**

Kamal

....Petitioner

versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

....Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL***

Present: Mrs. Anupam Bhanot, Advocate for the petitioner.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for allotment of dwelling unit under scheme 2006, as per order dated 21.05.2010 (**Annexure P-2**), vide which the application filed by the husband of the petitioner was allowed. Further prayer has also been made to allot and deliver possession of flat in Sector 25 as per Annexure P-2.

2. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that as the impugned order dated 21.05.2010 i.e. **Annexure P-2** had been passed in favour of the husband of the petitioner, namely, Sh. Baljeet, she may be allowed to withdraw the

present writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies for execution of Annexure P-2, in accordance with law.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. It shall, however, be open to the petitioner to take recourse to the remedies as may be available to her, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 30, 2018
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No