

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1863 of 2018
Date of decision: 30.01.2018

Bharti Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner was employed as Sanskrit Teacher in Government High School Chandpura, District Mahendergarh on 14.10.1997 on contract basis. And, vide order dated 19.10.2000 (Annexure P2), she was appointed as Sanskrit Teacher, in the Education Department of Haryana, on regular basis. The limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that prior to her regular appointment, she had served from 14.10.1997 to 24.10.2000 on contract basis, therefore, she be given the benefit of continuity of service for the said period. Particularly when persons, who were/are identically placed, have been awarded the same benefit vide order dated 06.04.2010 (Annexure P8). Petitioner alleged to have represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation dated 10.11.2017 (Annexure P9). But to no avail. So much so, the legal notice dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P10), the respondents were served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also the legal notice dated 20.12.2017 (Annexure P10), within a period of 8 weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. Needless to assert that in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

30.01.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO