

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No.2028 of 2017

Date of decision:September 18, 2018

Rajbir

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.395 of 2017

Raman Singla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.3232 of 2017

Azad Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.3199 of 2017

Ram Dass

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.3214 of 2017

Suresh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CWP No.333 of 2017

Smt. Uma Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. R.D. Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate and
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the HUDA.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of the above captioned writ petitions as the point in issue involved in all the cases is common in nature.

So far as CWP Nos.2028 and 3214 of 2017 are concerned, the controversy is identical to the one decided vide order dated 26.07.2018, passed in CWP No.2029 of 2017 (O&M), titled as **Rajbir and another Vs. State of Haryana and others**. In other words, the issue pertains to the rate of allotment of plots to the writ petitioners under the Oustees Policy. Let their claim therefore be decided in the light of above-cited decision.

So far as remaining four writ petitions are concerned, in these cases the petitioners have applied for allotment of plots under the Outstees Quota along with earnest money way back in the year 2010. Their grievance is that no draw of lots have been held till date. The allied apprehension is that today if draw of lots is held now, they will be charged as per current rate of allotment.

These writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of with a direction that let draw of lots be held and/or the claim of the petitioners for allotment of plots under the Oustees Policy be decided in the light of Full Bench decision of this Court passed in CWP No.22252 of 2016, titled as **Rajiv Manchanda and others Vs. Haryana Urban Development Authority and another.**

Needless to say that rate of allotment shall also be determined keeping in view the principles and guildlines laid down in **Rajiv Manchanda's case (supra)**. The needful shall be done within a period of four months.

All the writ petitions stand disposed of in above terms.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

September 18, 2018

jt

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No