

136

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-18451-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision-01.10.2018

Om Parkash

....Applicant-Petitioner

Vs.

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others ...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-11468-CWP-2018 &CM-12812-CWP-2018

Applications are allowed as prayed for.

Documents are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent(s) to *inter alia* release 1st and 2nd ACP scale modified w.e.f.01.01.1996.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioner is covered by a judgment passed by this Court in CWP-18885-2009 and another connected matter, titled as “**Mohinder Singh and others Vs. State of Haryana and others**” decided on 20.09.2010 (Annexure P-4). Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Executive Engineer, City Division, Uttar Haryana

Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Karnal to consider and decide the representation dated 19.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) in the light of Annexure P-4.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Executive Engineer, City Division, Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, Karnal to consider and decide the representation dated 19.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) in the light of Annexure P-4 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

01.10.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No