

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-2303-2011

Date of decision: - 24.08.2018

Kundan Lal

.....Appellant

Versus

Raj Kumar @ Raju and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Vishal Khatri, Advocate
for Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Appeal qua respondents No.5 to 8 dismissed on 23.03.2011.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (ORAL)

As per office report, respondent No.3 has been served, whereas respondents No.1 and 4 remain unserved for want of correct addresses.

The appellant was supposed to supply the correct addresses within a period of three days from the date of passing of order dated 21.12.2015, but needful has not been done.

Today, case was called twice, but none has appeared on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this matter.

In view of above, this Court is left with no alternative except to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

A copy of this order be sent to the appellant.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

August 24, 2018
naresh.k

Whether reportable?	No
Whether reasoned/speaking?	No