

**CWP No.18317 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 26, 2018**

DARSHAN KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature mandamus, directing the respondents to consider and decide the representations dated 26.05.2015 (P-5) and dated 18.12.2017 (P-6) and also to consider her claim keeping in view the parameter which was adopted in case of three Ex-DPI (S) vide which their higher pay of Director's posts has been given and also to release all the consequential benefits.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner made representations dated 26.05.2015 (P-5) and 18.12.2017 (P-6) with regard to the relief claimed through the instant petition, to respondent No.3 but till nothing has been done. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the aforesaid representations, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent(s) particularly to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representations dated 26.05.2015 (P-5) and 18.12.2017 (P-6) and take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

JULY 26, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***