

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18189-2018

Date of decision-26.07.2018

Balwinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S.Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the service rendered by the petitioners on the post of SPOs as qualifying service for the purpose of seniority for the post of Constables and all other consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by a judgment passed by this Court in CR-2295-2010, titled as “**Constable Rajinder Kumar Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 06.09.2010 (Annexure P-5). Further he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 18.04.2018 (Annexure P-7) in view of Annexure P-5.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 18.04.2018 (Annexure P-7) in view of Annexure P-5 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

26.07.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No