

**CWP No. 18152 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 25, 2018**

BRIJ LAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to consider his case for relaxation under Rule 6 of Haryana Civil Services (Pension) Rules 2016 for the grant of superannuation pension and to grant him pension from the date of approval of his case with further direction to respondents to consider his representation dated 30.03.2018 (P-4).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though various representations were made to the respondents including representation dated 30.03.2018 (P-4) but till date neither any reply to the said representations has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide his latest representation dated 30.03.2018 (P-4) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 30.03.2018 (P-4) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid representation within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

JULY 25, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>