

**CWP No. 1813 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JANUARY 29, 2018**

SUBHASH CHANDER

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

HARYANA STATE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING BOARD

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Gitanjali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to release him all the retiral benefits i.e. pension, gratuity, leave encashment, GIS, commutation of pension & arrears of pension besides payment of arrears of revision of pay w.e.f. 01.01.2016 to 31.05.2017 with interest @18% per annum.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that though petitioner stood retired on attaining the age of superannuation on May 31, 2017 but till date nothing has been paid to him. She further submits that petitioner moved representation dated September 14, 2017 (P-4) and subsequent thereto, reminders (P5 and P6) but neither any reply has been received to the petitioner nor any action has been taken. She further submits that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent to dispose of the afore-said representation (P-4) within a time bound manner.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation (P-4) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and regulations, instructions dated February 20, 2002 (P-7) and also keeping in view the judgments (P-8 and P-9), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the authority comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed by him through the instant petition the same shall be released/disbursed to him along-with all consequential relief within next 30 days. However, the concerned authority shall also consider the interest on the delayed payment(s) in view of the judgment (P-9) passed by this Court. In case the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JANUARY 29, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>