

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23403-2016

Date of decision-17.04.2018

Manoj Kumar

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.S.Gopera, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monica Chibber, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Rajni Rohilla, Advocate for
Mr. N.K.Banka, Advocate for respondent No.4.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari for quashing the merit list of general category candidates prepared against advertisement No.3/2012 (Annexure P-1) issued by Subordinate Services Selection Board Punjab-respondent No.3 for appointment against 225 posts of Junior Coaches in the Sports Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has been issued appointment letter.

In view of the above, present petition has been rendered infructuous. However, learned counsel at this stage submits that the seniority

of the petitioner be protected and he be deemed to be selected from the date the candidates lower in the merit were appointed.

Heard.

As the substantial relief prayed for has already been granted, the petitioner shall represent to the department for redressal of his grievance, if so advised. Respondents are directed to look into the matter and decide the same as per law.

Disposed of.

However, the State shall pay ₹25,000/- towards costs of litigation from the persons who misconducted themselves in drawing the merit list against law. State is directed not to reimburse in any manner the amount so deposited.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

17.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No