

CWP No. 18102 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 25, 2018

RAMESH CHAND

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

UHBVN AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant/sanction him 3rd ACP of ₹4000/-, as he had completed 24 years service on 01.04.2014 and in view of letter dated 19.09.2017 (P-4) read with letter dated 03.04.2017 (P-10) and ACP Schedule (P-3) with consequential benefits with further prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the order dated 27.07.2015 (P-7).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide his representation dated 04.02.2016 (P-9) in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and also in view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 04.02.2016 (P-9) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under the law including to approach this Court.

JULY 25, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>