

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18028-2018**

**Date of decision-24.07.2018**

**Dharmender & Associates and others**

**....Petitioners**

**Vs.**

**State of Haryana and others**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioners.

**\*\*\***

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to consider the legal notice dated 20.05.2018 (Annexure P-3) submitted by the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Transport Commissioner, Haryana, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.05.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Transport Commissioner, Haryana, Sector-17, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 20.05.2018 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration,

the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE

24.07.2018

vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |