

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-180-2018

Date of decision: - 30.11.2018

Mahesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Peeush Gagneja, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

None for respondent No.5.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

No one appears on behalf of respondent No.5 despite service.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Mehardeep Singh,
Additional Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of
respondent No.5.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking
release of the amount of the medical reimbursement as well as interest on
the delayed payments.

Petitioner claimed a sum of ₹2,42,724/- from the respondents
on account of medical reimbursement. Despite the fact that notice of
motion was issued in January, 2018, and the case came up for hearing for
more than four times thereafter, but no reply has been filed so far.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the present petition, a sum of ₹2,11,000/- has already been released to the petitioner and now only a sum of ₹31,724/- remains to be paid.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the above said claim of the petitioner. Petitioner has already served respondents with a legal notice on 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) claiming ₹2,42,724/-.

Counsel for the respondents states that they have no objection, if a direction is given to decide the claim about the remaining amount.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the legal notice dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is made clear that this Court expresses no opinion on the merits of the case and entitlement of the petitioner for the relief claimed.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 30, 2018
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No