121

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17997-2018
Date of decision-24.07.2018

Kanchan **....Petitioner**

Vs.

State of Punjab and another **...Respondents**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

***

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of certiorari quashing the order dated 23.07.2015 (Annexure P-4) whereby claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Math Mistress has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that case of the petitioner is covered by the judgments passed by this Court in CWP-10558-2014, titled as "**Isha Sharma Vs. State of Punjab and another**" decided on 28.07.2017 (Annexure P-5) and CWP-17309-2015, titled as "**Neha Aggarwal and another Vs. State of Punjab and another**" decided on 16.01.2018 (Annexure P-6). Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (S.E.) Punjab, Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 20.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) in the light of Annexures P-5 and P-6.

Heard.

Vanita
2018.08.02 11:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director Public Instructions (S.E.) Punjab, Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 20.02.2018 (Annexure P-7) in the light of Annexures P-5 and P-6 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

24.07.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes No
Whether Reportable : Yes No

Vanita
2018.08.02 11:21
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document