

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17995 of 2018
Date of decision : 24.07.2018

Naresh Kumar and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: .Mr.Shalender Mohan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

RITU BAHRI J. (Oral)

The petitioners have come up in writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to follow the order dated 12.12.2017 passed in CWP No.24190 of 2017 and connected matters and 01.09.2017 passed in CWP No.17899 of 2017 and connected matters (Annexure P-1) collectively and take any decision upon representation dated 12.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) and contempt notice dated 21.03.2018 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that vide order passed on 12.12.2017 and 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-1), respondents were directed to examine the cases of the employee as per the policy dated 06.10.2004 and as per directions given by this Court. The petitioners are aggrieved because their cases have not been considered in view of the orders (Annexure P-1). As such, they have filed representation dated 12.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) and contempt notice dated 21.03.2018 (Annexure P-3) but action has been taken in this regard. Ultimately they have filed contempt petition i.e. COCP No.1406 of 2018 (Annexure P-4) but the same was dismissed as withdrawn

In view of the above, the present writ petition is allowed and directions are being given to respondents No. 2 to 5 look into the representations (Annexure P-2) and (Annexure P-3) and decide the same within one month in view of the guidelines passed in the writ petitions vide order dated 12.12.2017 and 01.09.2017 (Annexure P-1).

24.07.2018
Anil

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned :</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>