

130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1799-2018

Date of decision-29.01.2018

Sukhraj Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. SPS Tinna, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for the post of Panchayat Secretary in the Department of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab as advertised by respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1- Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Sector-34, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representations dated 06.04.2017 and 22.12.2017 respectively (Annexures P-10 and P-11).

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Secretary,

Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Sector-34, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the representations dated 06.04.2017 and 22.12.2017 respectively (Annexures P-10 and P-11). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

29.01.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No