

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-17983-2018**

**Date of decision-24.07.2018**

**Gurnam Singh**

**....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab and another**

**...Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

**\*\*\***

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.**

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to consider the case of the petitioner and decide legal notice dated 30.01.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 30.01.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 30.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration,

the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)  
JUDGE

24.07.2018

vanita

|                             |     |    |
|-----------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :        | Yes | No |