

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 19681 of 2017
Date of decision: August 10, 2018

Bimla Rani

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Karamveer Singh Banyana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG., Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J.(Oral)

The prayer in the writ petition is to quash the letter dated 29.6.2017 (Annexure P-12) of the respondents vide which they have declined the request of the petitioner for consideration of his candidature under Scheduled Caste Category for the post of Instructor for Trade of Dress Making.

Learned counsel for the State has informed that even though a decision was taken to fill up two posts in Dress Making from Scheduled Caste candidates for ITI/CTI, no person has been appointed so far and requisition of vacant posts of Dress Making Trade Instructors to Commission has been made vide letter dated 20.6.2017 (Annexure R-II) and dated 29.6.2017 (Annexure R-III).

The grievance of the petitioner is that he belongs to Scheduled

the two candidates mentioned at S. No. 43 and 54 at page No.54 of the writ petition which is the revised merit list for the candidates for the post of Dress Making Instructor (Contractual)ITI/CTI.

Since no appointment has been made for the two posts reserved for Degree/Diploma holder instructors, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that if any contractual appointment is to be made against the two Scheduled Caste category post, then the petitioner will be considered in preference to the candidates who are lower in merit as per the revised merit list placed at (Annexure P-8).

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

August 10, 2018
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No