

101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19678-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision-30.01.2018

Harjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner(s).

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

CM-17024-CWP-2017

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-7 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-19678-2017

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents to appoint petitioner No.1 as Constable in the Police Department.

Contentends that case of the petitioners is covered by the policy dated 12.09.2016 (Annexure P-7). He further states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 05.04.2017 (Annexure P-6).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 05.04.2017 (Annexure P-6). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

30.01.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No