

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17897 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 06, 2018

SURAJ BHAN AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS..

VERSUS

UHBVNL AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to restore the deduction made from the payment of additional dearness allowance/adhoc relief and refix their pay/pension in the revised scale as introduced w.e.f. 01.05.1973, 01.04.1979, 01.10.1986 and 01.01.1996 after restoration of the deduction/cut of alleged excess payment of adhoc relief and after giving fresh options and to pay the arrears of pay/pension accruing due to the restoration of cut in view of the judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 4518 of 2000, titled as *R.K. Gupta and others vs. State of Haryana* and others, decided on 11.08.2003 (P-4).

2. At the very outset of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to

decide the advance notice dated 29.08.2017 (P-7) in view of judgment referred in para 1 of this order, within some time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the advance notice dated 29.08.2017 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, particularly in view of the judgment referred in para 1 of this order, which as per the version of learned counsel for the petitioner have attained finality, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authorities, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available to them under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 06, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>