

128

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1784-2018

Date of decision-29.01.2018

Sukhdev Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. H.S.Saggu, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents to promote the petitioner as DPE Master.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director, Education Department (Secondary Education), Punjab, Phase VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 18.12.2017 (Annexure P-5).

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director, Education Department (Secondary Education), Punjab, Phase VIII, SAS Nagar (Mohali), to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated

18.12.2017 (Annexure P-5). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

29.01.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No