

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17839 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 02, 2018

NEELAM AND ORS.

.....PETITIONERS..

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Mahavir Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

Through instant petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release amount of GPF/gratuity and all other retiral benefits as well as to release the last drawn salary amount along with arrears.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though petitioners moved representation dated 11.06.2018 (P-7) but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioners feel satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid representation, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to consider the claim of the petitioners and take a conscious decision on

representation (P-7), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioners still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 02, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>