

**CWP No. 17820 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 01, 2018**

PARAMJIT KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ORIENTAL BANK OF COMMERCE AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Rajni Maurya, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release ex-gratia amount to her on account of death of her husband along-with interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though a legal notice dated 09.05.2018 (P-5) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply to the afore-said notice has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken by the authorities. She further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide the afore-said legal notice dated 09.05.2018 (P-5) in a time bound manner.

3. In view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 09.05.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order(s) passed by the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

AUGUST 01, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>