

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17806-2018

Date of decision-23.07.2018

Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for a direction declaring the action and inaction of respondents in not releasing the due payment of petitioner or to direct the respondents to decide legal notice of the petitioner dated 05.05.2018 (Annexure P-4).

Perused.

It is asserted in the petition that respondent No.3-Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Ludhiana be directed to consider and decide the legal notice dated 05.05.2018 (Annexure P-4).

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, PWD (B&R), Ludhiana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 05.05.2018 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on

consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

23.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No