

108 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 17795 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 08, 2018**

BAKSHISH SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Harbans Lal Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 25.10.2012 (P-6) with further prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner dated 25.10.2012, in a time bound manner.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that vide impugned order dated 25.10.2012 (P-6) while terminating the services of the petitioner a recovery to the tune of ₹5,17,730/- has also been imposed upon the

petitioner with further stipulation that he will not get anything more than suspension allowance for the period 05.04.2011 to 24.10.2012. Aggrieved against the afore-said order (P-6), petitioner preferred an appeal to the Chairman and all Members Board of Director's, Verka Milk Plant, Sirhind Road, Patiala dated 21.11.2012 (P-9). Since the filing of the afore-said appeal/representation, Board of Director's have not taken any decision. Even, no proceedings have been carried out as no meeting of the Board of Director's were convened till date. Even, the Additional Registrar (I) vide his letter dated 12.07.2013 (P-10) requested the General Manager, Milk Plant, Patiala to fix a meeting of the Board of Director's of the Union, so that appropriate decision may be taken with regard to the appeal preferred by the petitioner but no heed was paid to the said letter.

3. Here, it would be pertinent to mention that now new Board of Director's have been constituted . The appeal preferred by the petitioner pertains to the year 2012 and a period of more than half decade has already been elapsed and the petitioner could not get any benefit.

4. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the Chairman and all members of the Board of Directors, Verka Milk Plant, Sirhind Road, Patiala to decide the afore-said appeal preferred by the petitioner dated 21.11.2012 (P-9) in a time bound manner.

5. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to Chairman and all member of the Board of Directors, Verka Milk Plant, Sirhind Road, Patiala to decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner dated 27.11.2012

(P-9) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

6. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law including to approach this Court.

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

AUGUST 08, 2018

sham

***Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes/No**

***Whether Reportable:* Yes/No**