

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.24005 of 2015
Date of Decision : 23.01.2018.**

Anamika

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Girish Agnihotri, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Vide this petition, the petitioner claims a writ of certiorari to quash the order/decision, vide which the respondents had decided to initiate a vigilance inquiry against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the parties submit that since in the inquiry initiated against the petitioner the charges levelled against her remained unsubstantiated, the relief prayed for in this regard is rendered infructuous.

And, as regards the direction to the respondents to consider the petitioner for promotion to the post of senior Lecturer, learned State counsel submits that the candidature of the petitioner shall be considered in accordance with law and the rules governing her service.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

23.01.2018

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Yes/No
Yes/No