

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17614 of 2018

Date of Decision: 20.07.2018

Mukesh Lata Saini

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The claim in this petition is for revision of family pension made by the widow of late Government servant. She has made a representation and served a legal notice which have not been responded to.

In the first instance, it is for the respondent authority to take a view in the matter and, therefore, the request of Mr. Gupta is accepted and this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to consider and decide the legal notice by passing a speaking order. In case, the petitioner wants to be heard she would be offered an opportunity to plead her case either herself or through an authorized representative well acquainted to the facts of the case. In case, the claim is found genuine then the benefit of revision be given and amounts calculated and disbursed to her within reasonable time preferably in three months.

In these circumstances, the petition stands disposed of with a

direction to the competent authority to decide the matter within the aforesaid period and convey the order to the petitioner only if it is adverse to her interest.

20.07.2018
manju

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No