

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19256 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:30.7.2018

Pardeep Jangra

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.C.R.Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Harish Rathee, Sr.DAG, Haryana

Mr.Surinder Singh, Advocate for respondent no.3

Mr.R.K.Malik, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Ramandeep Singh, Advocate for respondent no.4

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The result as also marksheets with regard to the selection for the post of District Extension Specialist (Agriculture Engineering) have been produced in two sealed covers in Court today which are opened and photocopies thereof are retained on record as Mark "A" containing total 11 pages and the original thereof are returned to Mr.Surinder Singh, learned counsel for the respondent-University. Respondents no.1 and 2 are not necessary parties since relief is only against respondent no.3-University.

Both the petitioner and the private respondent belong to the General Category. The petitioner is placed at Sr.No.11 in the list of eligible candidates. He is recorded to be eligible subject to production of instructions/conditions and NOC from the present employer at the time of the interview. Respondent no.4-Darshana has been described as not eligible as her degree is not in the relevant discipline. However, she is at number one in the General Category. Her name figures at Sr.No.7 in the list of ineligible candidates. The petitioner has secured total of 42.08 marks and respondent-

Darshana has secured 58.53 marks. She has secured 10 marks in interview which is one short of two candidates who have secured 11 marks. Darshana was interviewed as per the directions of this Court that the result be kept in sealed cover. As against one post in the General Category, there are at least five candidates higher in merit than the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner has no claim against the single vacancy advertised in the General Category.

In view of the above, this petition is dismissed. The respondent-University is at liberty to declare the result and proceed with the appointment as per merit in accordance with law.

30.7.2018
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No