

104

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-17464-2018

Date of decision-19.07.2018

Arjun

....Petitioner

Vs.

Union of India and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vicky Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to issue passport to the petitioner and decide representation dated 12.01.2018 (Annexure P-1) and legal notice dated 05.03.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Regional Passport Officer, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 05.03.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Regional Passport Officer, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 05.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the

receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

19.07.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No