

137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17313-2018

Date of decision-18.07.2018

Surinderpal Singh @ Surinder Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release full pension, commutation of pension and other retiral dues of the petitioner along with arrears w.e.f. the date of retirement i.e.30.04.2015 up to date with penal interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that gratuity, commutation of pension and other retiral benefits of the petitioner were withheld subject to pendency of the inquiry of the petitioner at time of retirement. The petitioner stands superannuated vide order dated 30.04.2015 (Annexure P-1) and the representation filed by the petitioner is still lying pending. He further states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Revenue Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Punjab Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 13.06.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Secretary, Department of Revenue Punjab, Civil Secretariat, Punjab Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 13.06.2018 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

18.07.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No