

139

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1727-2018

Date of decision-25.01.2018

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents to count the service rendered by the petitioner in the Government Aided Privately Managed colleges for the purpose of qualifying service towards the pensionary benefits in terms of the law laid down in CWP-14238-1991 titled as “Sukhdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Directorate of Public Instructions (SE) Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 03.07.2017 (Annexure P-6).

Heard.

In view of the statement made, the Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present

petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Directorate of Public Instructions (SE) Punjab, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 03.07.2017 (Annexure P-6). In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

25.01.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No