

**CWP No.17216 of 2018
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 13, 2018**

SATPAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Manoj Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the same salary and allowances from the date of joining, which is being granted to the regular drivers holding similar post from the date of appointment in compliance of order dated 01.08.2013 (P-1).

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that though a legal notice dated 05.04.2018 (P-6) was duly served upon the respondents but till date neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken by the authorities. At this juncture, he submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the afore-said legal notice dated 05.04.2018 (P-6) in a time bound manner.

3. In view of afore-stated facts but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 05.04.2018 (P-6) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with law, rules and regulations within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, in case petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the order(s) passed by the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

AUGUST 13, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***