

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17193-2018

Date of decision-17.07.2018

Constable Rajwinder Singh and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Ms. Suminder Deep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Rajeev K.Kapila, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the service rendered by the petitioners on the post of SPOs as qualifying service for the purpose of seniority for the post of Constables and all other consequential benefits.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by judgments passed by this Court in CWP-8549-2018 titled as “**Satwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 05.04.2018 (Annexure P-7); CWP-12010-2018, titled as “**Chamkaur Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 18.05.2018 (Annexure P-8 and CWP-12078-2018, titled as “**Rajvir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 21.05.2018 (Annexure P-9). Further she states that at this stage she would be

satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-10) in view of Annexures P-7 to P-9.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General of Police, Punjab, Punjab Police Headquarters, Sector-9, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 02.05.2018 (Annexure P-10) in view of Annexures P-7 to P-9 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

17.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No