

127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17056-2018

Date of decision-16.07.2018

Daljeet Singh and others

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. R.K.Chopra, Sr.Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to grant grade pay of Rs.4200/- in the pay of band of Rs.10300-34800/- instead of grade pay of Rs.3600 to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by a judgment passed by this Court in CWP-1748-1995 titled as "Gurdev Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others" decided on 28.11.1995 (Annexure P-6). Further he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Addl.Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandary, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 12.02.2018 (Annexure P-9) in view of Annexure P-6.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Addl.Chief Secretary to Govt.Punjab, Department of Animal Husbandary, Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 12.02.2018 (Annexure P-9) in view of Annexure P-6 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

16.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No