

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.131

Civil Writ Petition No.16983 of 2018
DECIDED ON: July 13, 2018

JAI SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to decide his representation dated 07.10.2017 (P-4) coupled with legal notice dated 04.01.2018 (P-5) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order and to grant him all consequential benefits of stepping up of his pay with interest @ 12% p.a. at par with his counter parts-junior namely Sh. Jai Kishan as the case of the petitioner is covered by the judgment dated 18.11.2008 (P-6) delivered by Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.5956 of 2008 captioned as *Charan Dass vs. State of Haryana*.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though representation dated 07.10.2017 (P-4) followed by legal notice dated 04.01.2018 (P-5) were made to the respondents but till date no response has

Civil Writ Petition No.16983 of 2018

been received so far. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation/legal notice (P-4 & P-5), within some specified period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – The Director General, State Transport Haryana, 30-Bays Building, Sector 17, Chandigarh to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated 07.10.2017 (P-4) and legal notice dated 04.01.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order in the light of the judgment (P-6) referred to above in para no.1 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. In case, petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law and/or to approach this Court.

July 13, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**