

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.101

Civil Writ Petition No.18700 of 2017
DECIDED ON: September 05, 2018

SULOCHNA AND OTEHRS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the following reliefs:

- (i) issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order No.356/64/Steno dated 28.03.2011 (P-1) passed by Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib – respondent No.4, whereby the services of Late H.C. (P) Ramesh Kumar No.788/Mukatsar were dismissed w.e.f. 28.03.2011 afternoon;
- (ii) mandamus directing the respondents to initiate steps of

Civil Writ Petition No.18700 of 2017

compulsory retirement, protecting the right to pension and gratuity, along with all service benefits with interest;

(iii) mandamus directing the respondents for supply of certified copies of alleged show cause notice, alleged disciplinary proceedings, statements of alleged witnesses and all other documents which are related to the case of dismissal of Ramesh Kumar;

(iv) mandamus directing the respondents for treating the service of husband of petitioner No.1 by adding his absence period from 19.11.2009 till the date of passing the impugned order.

2. Yet at the time of initiation of arguments, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that he restricts the claim of deceased husband of petitioner No.1 for the time being to the relief that a statutory appeal preferred against the order dated 28.03.2011 (P-1) passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib – respondent No.4 pending before the Appellate Authority-cum-Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Range, Bathinda (Punjab) – respondent No.3 be got finally disposed of within some stipulated period.

3. Without expressing anything on merits but keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners referred to above, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3 Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Range, Bathinda (Punjab) to decide the statutory appeal pending before him against the order dated 28.03.2011 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib – respondent No.4, whereby husband of the petitioners namely late H.C.

Civil Writ Petition No.18700 of 2017

Ramesh Kumar was dismissed from his service, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In the event of any adverse order is passed by the respondent No.3 - Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Range, Bathinda (Punjab), petitioners shall be at liberty to approach this Court and/or to have recourse to other remedies available under law.

September 05, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**