

122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16935-2018

Date of decision-16.07.2018

Charanjit Singh

....Petitioner

Vs.

Pepsu Road Transport Corporation Patiala and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. S.K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for issuance of direction to stay charge-sheet dated 28.12.2017 (Annexure P-11) and consequential inquiry proceedings till criminal appeal is decided.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala to consider and decide the legal notice dated 18.04.2018 (Annexure P-14).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Managing Director, Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala to consider and decide the legal notice dated 18.04.2018 (Annexure P-14) within six

weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

16.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No