

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.124

**Civil Writ Petition No.16915 of 2018**  
**DECIDED ON: July 13, 2018**

**SURESH KUMAR SHARMA AND OTHERS**

**..PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant one additional increment of higher responsibility under Rule 4.4(2) (c) (i) as per Vol-1, Part-1 of Punjab Civil Services Rules and in lieu of judgment rendered in CWP No.3922 of 2011 vide order dated 03.10.2016 as well as letter dated 15.03.2018 (P-3) issued by Finance Department, Haryana on getting promotion on the post of SDO from the date of promotion and re-fix the pay of the petitioners and revised their pension WITH FURTHER direction to the respondents to release the arrear of difference between due and drawn to them along with interest @ 18% per annum and to decide legal notices dated 25.04.2018 (P-4) and 15.05.2018 (P-5) in view of aforesaid judgment and letter.

**Civil Writ Petition No.16915 of 2018**

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioners feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notices (P-4 & P-5) in view of aforesaid judgment and letter, in a time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the legal notices dated 25.04.2018 (P-4) and 15.05.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order particularly in view of the judgment and letter referred to above in para no.1 of this order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

**July 13, 2018**

*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable**

**Yes  
Yes/No**