

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22149 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision:27.3.2018

Lata

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Balbir Kumar Saini, Advocate for
Mr.Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners
Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana
Mr.Rajesh Gaur, Advocate for R-3-Marketing Board
Mr.Naveen Gupta, Advocate for R-4

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Reply to CM No.1290 of 2018 filed by respondent no.4 is taken
on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

The grievance of the petitioner against the transfer order dated
19.10.2016 (Annex. P-6) is that it was passed contrary to the policies (Annex.
P-3 and P-4) which enjoin the State not to transfer employees, who are 100%
blind, to rural areas without taking their consent. The respondent-Board has
reviewed the decision and withdrawn the impugned order rendering this writ
petition as infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

27.3.2018
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No