

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.23051 of 2015
Date of Decision: 31.10.2018**

Bhupinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present:- Mr. S.K. Rattan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J.(ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to refix the pay of the petitioner notionally w.e.f.17.04.1997.

Reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed today in the Court, which is taken on record.

As per the reply, vide order dated 24.10.2017 the notional pay fixation has been granted to the petitioner from the date his juniors were allowed vide order dated 24.10.2017 (Annexure R1) and the seniority of the petitioner has also been fixed at 632-A(1) vide order dated 05.02.2016 (Annexure R2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the difference on account of the salary which already stands paid to the juniors has not been given to the petitioner.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the claim for difference of salary of the petitioner. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

October 31, 2018

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No