

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 22066 of 2016
Date of Decision: 24.07.2018

SATNAM SINGH *...Petitioner*
VS.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS *...Respondents*

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.**

Present: Mr. Jimmy Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that this petition has been filed due to oversight of the fact that impugned order was appealable. He has rather prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition to avail the alternative remedy of statutory appeal in accordance with law. However, at the same time, he has also prayed that the appellate Court/respondents may be directed to not to raise the issue of limitation and the appeal may be decided on merits.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is hereby dismissed as not maintainable on account of availability of an alternate remedy of statutory appeal. However, in case, such an appeal is filed by the petitioner within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the appellate authority/respondents shall not raise any issue of limitation and appeal shall be decided on merits.

July 24, 2018
Ess Kay

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

		✓	
Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	/	No