

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 2625 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.2.2018**

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties submit that as during the pendency of this petition, claim of the petitioner, i.e. grant of ITI pay scale, has since been rejected vide order dated 24.11.2016, the petition is rendered infructuous. However, he submits that a representation of the petitioner, dated 15.5.2017, against the order dated 24.11.2016 is pending consideration before respondent No.4. And, as a considerable time has elapsed, respondent No.4 be directed to decide the same within a specific time.

The petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

CWP No. 2625 of 2013 (O&M)

However, if any appeal/representation, as indicated above, is pending before respondent No.4, the same shall be considered and decided within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

20.2.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO