

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.16596 of 2018.

Date of Decision: July 11, 2018

Nikhil Jain

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.

Present: Mr.Sandeep Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has purchased Booth No.26, Sector-9, Urban Estate, Ambala City which was earlier allotted to respondent No.4-Rakesh Narang. The said Booth was purchased by the petitioner after obtaining permission from HUDA authorities and a formal sale deed dated 12.04.2018 (P-2) has also been executed in favour of the petitioner. Thereafter, the vendor and vendee both applied to the Estate Officer, HUDA, Ambala City to transfer the Booth in favour of the petitioner and reflect his ownership on the website of HUDA. However, due to sheer negligence of HUDA office, respondent No.4 is still shown as owner of the Booth on the website and name of the petitioner has been wrongly depicted as joint-owner. Necessary correction is not being done despite the petitioner's running from pillar to post.

From the facts on record, it appears to be a case of casual approach of the Estate Office, HUDA at Ambala City. We would not say anything more at this stage except to direct the Estate Officer, HUDA, Ambala City to verify the record and if the facts, as pleaded by the

petitioner are found correct, carry out necessary correction within three weeks from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order and send a compliance letter to the Registrar General of this Court, failing which the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek revival of this writ petition and in that case, exemplary costs shall be imposed on the defaulting officials of HUDA office.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

July 11, 2018
mohinder

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No